arXiv:1512.07599v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 23 Dec 2015

Emergent flat bands and many-body localization in a doped Mott insulator

Rong-Qiang He and Zheng-Yu Weng
Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
(Dated: December 24, 2015)

Many-body localization (MBL) is currently a hot issue of interacting systems, in which quantum mechanics overcomes thermalization of statistical mechanics. Like Anderson localization of non-interacting electrons, disorders are usually crucial in engineering the quantum interference in MBL. For a translation invariant system, however, the breakdown of eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) due to a *pure* many-body quantum effect is still unclear. Here we demonstrate an MBL phenomenon without disorder, which emerges in a lightly doped Hubbard model at very strong interaction. By means of density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) numerical calculation on a square lattice two-leg ladder, we show that whereas a single hole can induce a mobile Nagaoka polaron with a very large mass, two holes, three holes, and many holes, will form bound pair/droplets which are all localized excitations with flat bands. We further identify the underlying mechanism for this MBL as due to a novel 'Berry phase' of the doped Mott insulator, and show that by turning off this Berry phase either by increasing the anisotropy of the model or by hand, an eigenstate transition from the MBL to a conventional quasiparticle phase can be realized in the charge sector.

I. INTRODUCTION

As one of the most intriguing quantum phenomena, Anderson localization[1] predicts that noninteracting particles may become localized in a disordered media by quantum interference. For interacting particles, the survival of Anderson localization, which is now known as many-body localization (MBL), has been demonstrated by theories[2–4] and numerical studies[5–12]. A profound impact of MBL is that it prevents the thermalization of subsystems in violating the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH)[13–17], which represents a breakdown of quantum statistical mechanics. The MBL thus gives rise to the possibility to realize phenomena forbidden by quantum statistical mechanics, and to provide the protection for quantum and topological orders at finite temperatures[18–22].

An important question is wether disorder is indispensable to MBL. Specifically, can MBL-like physics appear in a translation invariant system? This question has recently attracted a lot of effort and debate[23–31]. A popular idea is to construct a translation invariant system involving two species of locally interacting particles with a very large mass ratio. The heavy particles move slowly and may dynamically generate an disordered potential to localize the light particles. Other ideas also involve self-generating disordering effect by, e.g., thermal fluctuations and initial conditions. However, an MBL violating ETH due to a *pure* many-body quantum effect has not been addressed so far, to our best knowledge.

In this work, we show unambiguously that an MBL can emerge in a standard Hubbard model for electrons on a square lattice two-leg ladder, which can be studied efficiently by density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) numerical algorithm[32]. Such a system is a translation invariant Mott insulator at half-filling when the Hubbard U is reasonably large. We focus on an extreme case with U very large as compared to the hopping integrals, such that when a single hole is injected, it can polarize the neighboring spins to form a bound state called a 'Nagaoka polaron'. Even though each Nagaoka polaron has a very large effective mass, it still propagates coherently. However, it becomes unstable once two holes are doped into the system, which form a tightly bound pair and get self-localized in real space. Three and four holes further form droplets, which are also well localized with infinite degeneracy since each can be located anywhere in an infinitely long ladder. We conclude that the charge sector of lightly doped Hubbard model with sufficiently large but finite U has an emergent MBL with spontaneous translational symmetry breaking in the finite energy-density states. Further, an eigenstate phase transition to a conventional delocalized phase has been found by increasing the anisotropy of the hopping integrals of the ladder or by turning off the so-called phase strings[33,34] as the intrinsic Berry phase of the Hubbard model.

II. MANY-BODY LOCALIZATION IN THE HUBBARD MODEL

A. Model



FIG. 1. A two-leg Hubbard ladder with a length L (= 6). The hopping amplitude along the legs (rungs) is denoted by t_x (t_y), characterized by the anisotropic parameter $\beta \equiv t_x/t_y$ with $t_x = 1$. A strong Hubbard potential of $U/t_x = 256$ will be taken in this work.

The Hamiltonian of the Hubbard model reads

$$\hat{H} = -\sum_{\langle ij\rangle,\sigma} t_{ij}(c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}c_{j\sigma} + c^{\dagger}_{j\sigma}c_{i\sigma}) + U\sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow}n_{i\downarrow}, \quad (1)$$

where $c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}$ and $c_{i\sigma}$ are respectively the creation and annihilation operators of the electron on lattice site i with spin σ ($=\uparrow,\downarrow$), and $n_{i\sigma} = c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma}c_{i\sigma}$. And t_{ij} is the hopping integral with $\langle ij\rangle$ denoting a pair of nearest-neighbor sites i and j, while $U > 0$ is the strength of the on-site repulsion.

In the present work, we focus on a special case of the two-leg ladder, in which $t_{ij} \equiv t_x$ and t_y, respectively, along the ladder legs and rungs as illustrated in Fig. 1. In particular, we consider a strong coupling case with $U/t_x = 256$ and use an open boundary condition in the DMRG calculation. For convenience, $t_x = 1$ is taken as the unit of energy with $\beta \equiv t_x/t_y$ describing the anisotropy of the ladder.

At half-filling, the system is a Mott insulator at a large U, and the ground state is spin singlet ($S = 0$) with a spin excitation gap for any finite anisotropic parameter β. What we shall study is the behavior of holes doped into the Mott insulator. In the following, we investigate the single hole doping first.

B. A single hole as a Nagaoka polaron

For a doped semiconductor, a doped hole is expected to be a conventional quasiparticle satisfying the Bloch theorem, characterized by charge $+e$, spin $S = 1/2$ and a well-defined momentum with a renormalized mass. When a single hole is injected into the half-filled two-leg ladder system, which is also gapped, the new ground state in general may have a total spin $S > 1/2$ due to many-body effect. Figure 2 shows the phase diagram determined by the first derivative of the hole energy ε^{1h} over the anisotropy β calculated by DMRG. Here $\varepsilon^{1h} \equiv E_0^{1h} - E_0^{0h}$, where E_0^{0h} (E_0^{1h}) is the ground state energy at half-filling (one-hole-doping). Note that E_0^{0h} is a smooth function of β.

As a function of β, a series of first-order jumps in $\partial\varepsilon^{1h}/\partial\beta$ are exhibited in Fig. 2, where each S labels the total spin of the corresponding ground state. Here, only in the strong rung case of $\beta < \beta_c^{1h} = 0.304$, does the hole (holon) bind with a spin-1/2 to form a conventional quasiparticle moving on the spin-singlet background (see below). At $\beta > \beta_c^{1h}$, the total spin[35] of the ground state jumps from 1/2 to 5/2, then to 7/2, and further to 9/2, ..., through a sequence of first-order eigenstate phase transitions signified by the discontinuities of $\partial\varepsilon^{1h}/\partial\beta$.

By calculating the hole-spin correlation function $C^{hs}_{ij} \equiv \langle \hat{n}^h_i \hat{s}^z_j \rangle$, where $\hat{n}^h_i = 1 - n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow}$ and $\hat{s}^z_i = (n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow})/2$, we find that C^{hs}_{ij} decays *exponentially* fast as the distance between lattice sites i and j is increased. A typical example is shown in Fig. 3 at $\beta = 1/3$, where the spins near the hole get polarized and form a bound state with



FIG. 2. (Color online) The phase diagram of the single-hole ground state can be determined by the first derivative of the hole energy ε^{1h} as a function of the anisotropic parameter β, which indicates a series of first-order eigenstate phase transitions characterized by different total spins S. At $\beta < \beta_c^{1h} = 0.304$, the single hole carries an $S = 1/2$ and forms a conventional quasiparticle. For $\beta > \beta_c^{1h}$, the hole polarizes its neighboring spins to form a high-spin bound state called 'Nagaoka polaron' with $S > 1/2$ (cf. Fig. 3). The dashed smooth curve indicates that a quasiparticle behavior is recovered in the whole range of β of the so-called σ-Hubbard model, in which the phase string effect is turned off (see text)[33,34].



FIG. 3. (Color online) A typical profile of an $S = 5/2$ (with $S^z = 5/2$) Nagaoka polaron at $\beta = 1/3$, which is determined by the hole-spin correlation function C^{hs}_{ij}. The radius of the red circle, indicating the magnitude of $\hat{s}^z_j$ at lattice site j, is proportional to the value of C^{hs}_{ij} with a fixed hole position at i marked by the open circle.

the hole, which carries a total spin $S = 5/2$ and $S^z = 5/2$ (totally there are 6-fold degenerate states). Such a hole-spin composite may be called a 'Nagaoka polaron' as a reminiscence of the Nagaoka ferromagnetism[36] induced by a single hole in the limit of $U = \infty$. Recently a doped hole as a Nagaoka polaron has been also found in a one-dimensional extended t-J model[37].

Here we can further quantify the motion of the Nagaoka polaron by analyzing the hole energy ε^{1h}. As shown in Fig. 4, ε^{1h} can be excellently fitted by

$$\varepsilon^{1h} \approx \text{const.} + \pi^2 t_{\text{eff}}(L - L_0)^{-2}, \quad (2)$$

where t_{eff} parameterizes a renormalized hopping integral for the Nagaoka polaron along the leg (with the bare hopping integral $t_x = 1$) and L_0 accounts for the fact that the Nagaoka polaron has a finite size[38]. It is instructive to



FIG. 4. (Color online) Main panel: the Nagaoka polaron energy ε^{1h} with total spin $S = 5/2$ at $\beta = 1/3 > \beta_c^{1h}$. The fitting of Eq. (2) shows that $t_{\rm eff} = 5.82 \times 10^{-5} t_x$ and $L_0 = 11.97$, indicating a substantial mass enhancement $m^*/m = (t_{\rm eff}/t_x)^{-1} = 1.718 \times 10^4$. Inset: the comparison of ε^{1h} at $\beta = 1/3$ and $1/4$, respectively. The hole forms a conventional quasiparticle (with $S = 1/2$) at $\beta = 1/4 < \beta_c^{1h}$, with $t_{\rm eff}/t_x = 0.489$ or $m^*/m \simeq 2.04$.

compare Eq. (2) with the ground state energy of a noninteracting free particle under an open boundary condition at large sample size L in a one-dimensional chain, which follows $\varepsilon^{1h} \approx \text{const.} + \pi^2 t_x (L+1)^{-2}$.

As shown in the inset of Fig. 4, ε^{1h} at $\beta = 1/4 < \beta_c^{1h}$ can be fitted with $t_{\rm eff}/t_x = 0.489$, where the hole carries a spin-1/2. By contrast, the effective $t_{\rm eff}/t_x$ gets substantially reduced at $\beta = 1/3$ where the hole as a Nagaoka polaron carries an $S = 5/2$ spin polarization (cf. Fig. 3). In the main panel of Fig. 4, the fitting shows that $t_{\rm eff}/t_x = 5.82 \times 10^{-5}$, which in turn means that the effective mass of the $S = 5/2$ Nagaoka polaron is substantially enhanced by $\sim 10^4$, as compared to that ~ 2.04 at $\beta = 1/4$ for a conventional quasiparticle with $S = 1/2$. In other words, the 'band' for the $S = 5/2$ Nagaoka polaron becomes quite flat.

By contrast, the Nagaoka polaron phases shown in Fig. 2 totally disappear in the so-called σ-Hubbard model[34], as shown by the dashed curve, where the doped hole remains a conventional quasiparticle with $S = 1/2$ throughout the accessible regime of β. This σ-Hubbard model has been previously studied in Ref. 34, which is the same as the Hubbard model at half-filling in large-U limit, but differs from the latter by a Berry-like phase[33] (phase string) as to be discussed in Sec. II E below.

C. Pair localization

Now we consider two holes doped into the half-filled system. At $\beta < \beta_c^{1h}$ where the single hole is described by a conventional spin-1/2 quasiparticle, no pairing between them is found, which simply remain two independent quasiparticles. However, a strong binding between the two holes occurs when the single hole becomes an $S > 1/2$ Nagaoka polaron at $\beta > \beta_c^{1h}$. Note that the DMRG calculation shows the true transition point $\beta_c^{2h} = 0.287 \lesssim \beta_c^{1h} = 0.304$.

Let us focus on an example at $\beta = 2/5 > \beta_c^{2h}$, where the $S = 7/2$ Nagaoka polaron becomes stable for the single hole ground state (cf. Fig. 2). As illustrated in Fig. 5, when two holes are initially created far apart (with the total $S^z = 0$), each will start to polarize its nearby spins to form a Nagaoka polaron separately with increasing the number of states kept in the DMRG simulation. Then the two wave packets of the holes spread out very slowly. Finally they meet and bind together to form a bound pair and during the process release some energy, i.e., the binding energy $\varepsilon_b^{2h} = 0.0665$. This whole converging process in the DMRG calculation is clearly seen through the real-space distribution evolution of spins and holes, which eventually converges in Fig. 5(c).

A further calculation verifies that the hole pair has a total spin $S = 7$ in the ground state of the above case, while other S states are allowed in excited states. The hole pair in Fig. 5(c) actually has a 15-fold degeneracy due to the spin rotational symmetry. Furthermore, the real space location of the hole pair is also arbitrary. Indeed, another *degenerate* hole pair with $S = 7$ and $S^z = 7$



FIG. 5. (Color online) The binding of two holes at $\beta = 2/5 > \beta_c^{2h}$ ($L = 60$ and $S^z = 0$) with increasing DMRG accuracy, i.e., increasing the number of states kept in DMRG from (a) to (c). Real-space distribution evolutions of spins ($s_x^z \equiv \langle \hat{s}_x^z \rangle$) and holes ($n_x^h \equiv \langle \hat{n}_x^h \rangle$) are shown here, where x denotes the lattice sites on one leg of the ladder (the values are the same at the two sites of each rung). From (a) to (c), the two holes are initially located far apart and each polarizes some spins nearby to form a Nagaoka polaron, which eventually bind together to form a *localized* two-hole bound state fully converged within the machine precision.



FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) A converged two-hole bound state localized in a different place on the ladder as compared to Fig. 5(c), with $S = 7$ and $S^z = 7$; (b) The collapsing of the localized bound pair profile at a smaller β (= 1/4); (c) The two holes are always unpaired and remain mobile in the σ-Hubbard ladder. The two-leg ladder length $L = 60$.

is shown in a different position in Fig. 6(a). Here the ground state degeneracy is accurate up to the machine precision with the truncation error $\sim 10^{-16}$ in the DMRG calculation.

The final real-space hole density profile ($n_i^{\rm h} \equiv \langle \hat{n}_i^{\rm h} \rangle$) and spin density profile ($s_i^z \equiv \langle \hat{s}_i^z \rangle$) of the hole pair are extremely 'solid' [Figs. 5(c) and 6(a)], which do not spread out in that the first eleven digits of the $n_i^{\rm h}$ and s_i^z values are unchanged when we increase the number of states kept in DMRG to reduce the truncation error down to 10^{-16}.

However, once $\beta < \beta_c^{\rm 2h} = 0.287$ or by turning off the phase string in the σ-Hubbard model[34], the pair binding and localization simultaneously collapse, as shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c), respectively. In these cases, two holes behave like two independent quasiparticles each with spin $S = 1/2$, which can be analyzed by a finite-size scaling similar to the single hole case.

D. Many-body localization

What we have found above is that a single Nagaoka polaron is intrinsically unstable towards pairing if two holes are present. What is surprising is that, instead of being mobile, such a hole pair seems to have a vanishingly small effective hopping integral (or a divergent mass), as shown by the DMRG up to the machine precision. It suggests that two holes form a tightly bound object with a flat band in energy levels such that practically an infinitesimal potential will pin each pair anywhere on the ladder.

Now let us examine what happens when more than two holes are simultaneously present in the system, which are located closely to each other. Not surprisingly, three doped holes are bound into a localized three-hole state, with a binding energy $\varepsilon_{\rm b}^{\rm 3h} = 0.1408$ at $\beta = 2/5$ as compared to three free Nagaoka polarons. Four holes also form a droplet in the ground state as illustrated in Fig. 7. Here one may visualize first creating a pair of two-hole bound states, each of which is spatially localized as discussed above. If they are put next to each other, the holes inside them can travel in a doubled spin polarization area to lower their kinetic energy without increasing the superexchange energy of spins. Thus they will experience an attractive interaction to form a localized 4-hole bound state, whose binding energy $\varepsilon_{\rm b}^{\rm 4h} = 0.2169 > 2 \times \varepsilon_{\rm b}^{\rm 2h} = 0.1330$ at $\beta = 2/5$, accordingly.

Similarly, localized n-hole bound states for $n > 4$ and $\varepsilon_{\rm b}^{(n'+n'')\rm h} > \varepsilon_{\rm b}^{n'\rm h} + \varepsilon_{\rm b}^{n''\rm h}$ are generally expected in the low-energy eigenstates of the system. Each of such a localized n-hole bound state can be generally characterized by n, the number of holes, and m, the number of polarized spins (with a total spin $S = m/2$), which may be called an '$(n,\ m)$-island'. The energy of an $(n,\ m)$-island can be denoted by $\varepsilon^{n,m}$, which is independent of where the $(n,\ m)$-island is located. Namely it lives in a 'flat band'. As a result, the low-energy spectrum of the hole-doped system is a set of flat bands for the many-body charge excitations as schematically shown in Fig. 8.

In this sense, the two-leg Hubbard system at large U with a suitable anisotropy β, is effectively integrable at low energies for the charge sector, where an extensive set of local integrals of motion (LIOM) may be defined for the system by virtue of islands. Denote the occupation number operator of an $(n,\ m)$-island centered at position



FIG. 7. (Color online) (a) Real-space hole distribution $n^{\rm h}$ of a 4-hole island is present at $\beta = 2/5$ and $L = 60$. (b) The logarithmic scale plot indicates an exponential fall off of the density outside the island.



FIG. 8. (Color online) Schematic illustration of finite energy density states as the flat bands filled by localized hole-islands in the charge sector. As an example, 14 holes (indicated by small white circles) are shown to form five islands (each is denoted by an ellipse). An $(n,\, m)$ flat band (indicated by a long horizontal line) accommodates only the degenerate $(n,\, m)$-islands, where n is the number of holes and m the number of polarized spins, which never overlap in real space.

x as $\hat{n}_x^{n,m}$, which takes eigenvalues 0 and 1. The corresponding effective Hamiltonian may be then written as

$$\hat{H}_{\rm eff} = \sum_{x,n,m} \varepsilon^{n,m} \hat{n}_x^{n,m} + \cdots , \tag{3}$$

where the first term describes spatially well separated islands and the second term [denoted by $\cdots$ in Eq. (3)] refers to attractive interactions between two or more islands when they are close to each other, which will lead to a new larger island with a lowered energy. In particular, in the ground state of a lightly doped system, one expects all the holes grouping into a single phase-separated region as the largest island.

Therefore, $\{\hat{n}_x^{n,m}\}$ represents an extensive set of LIOM for the charge sector of the Hubbard ladder at low energies. The LIOMs constrain the dynamics of the system and break ergodicity underlying quantum statistical mechanics[15–17]. The system prevents a complete thermalization of any given subsystems of the charge sector and thus many-body localizes (MBL)[39–43]. Evidently, the present MBL is fundamentally different from the conventional disorder-sustained MBL discussed in the literature.

E. Mechanism of the MBL: Phase string effect

As shown in Fig. 2 in the single hole case, all the Nagaoka polaron states (with $S > 1/2$) disappear in the so-called σ-Hubbard model[34], in which only a conventional quasiparticle phase survives (cf. the smooth dashed curve in Fig. 2). We have also seen that the localized bound pair of two holes collapses in the σ-Hubbard model [cf. Fig. 6(c)], where two conventional mobile quasiparticles can be identified by a finite-size scaling. Furthermore, three-hole and four-hole droplets (islands) all disappear in the σ-Hubbard model. In other words, the doped holes in the σ-Hubbard model will generally behave like conventional quasiparticles.

Similarly the doped holes in the Hubbard model can also recover a quasiparticle behavior in the strong rung limit, with β less than the corresponding critical point, i.e., $\beta_c^{1\rm h}$, $\beta_c^{2\rm h}$, ..., in the many-body eigenstates of the system when doping one hole, two holes, etc.

In the following, we point out that such transitions from the MBL to the delocalized states of conventional quasiparticles are all due to the fact that the phase string effect hidden in the Hubbard model gets either turned off or effectively 'screened' under different circumstances.

Specifically, the phase string effect in the bipartite Hubbard model is manifested in the partition function as follows:[33] At any temperature and doping,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\rm Hubb} = \sum_c \tau_c^{\rm ps} \tau_c^{\rm ex} \mathcal{W}(c) \ , \tag{4}$$

where the weight $\mathcal{W}(c) \geq 0$ for any closed path c, which is a consequence of displacements of holes (empty sites), doublons (doubly occupied sites) and spins (singly occupied sites) at an arbitrary temperature and doping. Here the phase string sign structure is Berry-phase-like as given by[33]

$$\tau_c^{\rm ps} \equiv (-1)^{N_\downarrow^{\rm h}(c)+N_\downarrow^{\rm d}(c)} \tag{5}$$

which is determined by counting the parity of the total numbers of exchanges between the down spins and the holons, $N_\downarrow^{\rm h}(c)$, and doublons, $N_\downarrow^{\rm d}(c)$, respectively, along the closed path c. On the other hand, $\tau_c^{\rm ex} \equiv (-1)^{N_{\rm ex}^{\rm h}(c)+N_{\rm ex}^{\rm d}(c)}$ is the Fermi sign structure involving the usual exchange numbers between the holons, $N_{\rm ex}^{\rm h}(c)$, and between the doublons, $N_{\rm ex}^{\rm d}(c)$, respectively. By contrast, in the σ-Hubbard model[34], the partition function simply reduces to

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\sigma-{\rm Hubb}} = \sum_c \tau_c^{\rm ex} \mathcal{W}(c) \tag{6}$$

with $\tau_c^{\rm ps} \equiv 1$. Therefore, the phase string $\tau_c^{\rm ps}$ solely captures the distinction between the Hubbard and σ-Hubbard models.

According to the above discussions, one can naturally conclude that $\tau_c^{\rm ps}$ plays the unique role for the Nagaoka polaron in the one-hole-doping case, and the MBL in finite-hole-doping cases. That is, in the Nagaoka polaron regime, a single hole has to polarize its surrounding spins to eliminate the frustration of phase string to gain kinetic energy. Since the effective mass of a Nagaoka polaron is already remarkably enhanced by, say, $\sim 10^4$, two or more of them will have strong tendency to bind and form a localized profile, which cost negligible kinetic energy of the Nagaoka polarons but gain important hopping energies for the individual holes within an enlarged profile of the

new bound state. Similarly, $\tau_c^{\rm ps}$ can also get effectively cancelled out at strong rung limit due to a tight binding between the hole (holon) and a spin 1/2 (spinon)[34].

Finally, we note that in the large-U limit, the doublon excitations are actually suppressed and the holes only appear upon hole doping such that the sign structure in Eq. (5) reduces to that of the t-J model[44] (with $N_d^{\downarrow}(c) \to 0$). The two-leg t-J ladder doped by a single hole and two holes has been recently studied[45,46] by DMRG at $t/J = 3$ with $\beta = 1$, which corresponds to $U/t = 12$ in the present case (note that $J = 4t^2/U$). In this regime, by tuning the anisotropic parameter $\alpha \equiv t_x/t_y$ with $t_y = t$ fixed, a 'continuous transition' is found at $\alpha_c \approx 0.7$ for the single hole case[47–50], where on *both* sides the hole is bound to an $S = 1/2$ spin without involving a 'first-order-like' transition to an $S > 1/2$ Nagaoka polaron[51]. Note that such a picture at relatively smaller U is drastically different from the present study in the extremely large-U case. Here the single hole forms a mobile Nagaoka polaron, albeit with a large effective mass and large S, and two holes are bound but localized in real space instead. How the two dramatically different pictures evolve into each other as a function of U will be an interesting subject to be explored in a future investigation.

III. CONCLUSION

The two-leg large-U Hubbard model is a spin gapped Mott insulator at half-filling, regardless of the anisotropic parameter β. As we have shown in this work, a finite density of holes doped into such a spin gapped ('spin liquid') system can lead to MBL at a sufficiently large U (e.g., $U/t_x = 256$ as considered here). Even though the underlying Hamiltonian still satisfies the translational symmetry, the charge sector involves localized hole pairs or multi-hole droplets at finite energy densities. These excited states violate ETH as they are truly disentangled from the rest region outside their localization length scale.

Here it is the Berry phase of the doped Mott insulator, i.e., the phase string effect, that is responsible for the MBL. The flat bands of charge droplets are self-trapping of holes by polarizing the surrounding spins via phase strings. In contrast, once the phase string is artificially turned off in the so-called σ-Hubbard model, the whole MBL phenomenon is gone. The delocalized quasiparticle state can be also recovered at the strong rung limit of the Hubbard model via an eigenstate transition, where the phase string effect gets effectively 'screened' once a holon is tightly bound with an $S = 1/2$ spinon.

Physically, to understand such a problem in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, one notes that the system is essentially composed of heavy electrons (spins) and light charges (doped holes). Namely the electrons become neutral spins with infinite charge mass (Mott insulator) at half-filling, while the doped holes gain a finite bare mass $\sim t_x^{-1}$ as light particles. However, the MBL of the charges takes place with the spin background lying in a pure quantum state without thermalization, which is thus fundamentally different from the possible MBL proposed and discussed in the literature[23,30,31]. Here the interaction between the heavy and light particles involves not only the so-called no double occupancy constraint at large U/t, which is usually emphasized in the literature, but also a singular Berry phase that the light particles experience, which is often omitted in the literature. Such a Berry phase, i.e., the phase string, has been proven rigorously first in the t-J[44] and then the Hubbard[33] models on any bipartite lattices. The present MBL is *purely* originated from the novel many-body quantum interference effect of such a Berry-like phase.

The Nagaoka polarons and the MBL are also expected to emerge in higher dimensions because the phase string effect, as the underlying principle, exists irrespective of spatial dimensions[33,44]. The models should be also gapped at half-filling. Thus, the checkerboard Hubbard model[52] and the Hubbard model on the 1/5-depleted square lattice[53] in two dimensions are good candidates. We also note that the Hubbard U is tunable for ultracold fermionic atoms in an optical lattice[54–57], which provides a good experimental setting to observe the Nagaoka polaron and MBL studied here.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge stimulating discussions with B. Altshuler, H.-C. Jiang, D.N. Sheng, C.-S. Tian, and especially Z. Zhu. This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11534007) and National Program for Basic Research of MOST of China (Grant No. 2015CB921000). R.Q.H. was supported by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No. 2015T80069). Computational resources were provided by National Supercomputer Center in Guangzhou with Tianhe-2 Supercomputer.

[1] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).
[2] D. M. Basko, I. L. Aleiner, and B. L. Altshuler, Ann. Phys. **321**, 1126 (2006).
[3] I. V. Gornyi, A. D. Mirlin, and D. G. Polyakov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 206603 (2005).
[4] J. Z. Imbrie, arXiv:1403.7837 (2014).
[5] V. Oganesyan and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 155111 (2007).
[6] M. Žnidarič, T. Prosen, and P. Prelovšek, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 064426 (2008).
[7] A. Pal and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 174411 (2010).
[8] C. Monthus and T. Garel, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 134202 (2010).

[9] E. Canovi, D. Rossini, R. Fazio, G. E. Santoro, and A. Silva, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 094431 (2011).
[10] J. A. Kjäll, J. H. Bardarson, and F. Pollmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 107204 (2014).
[11] D. J. Luitz, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 081103 (2015).
[12] B. Tang, D. Iyer, and M. Rigol, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 161109 (2015).
[13] J. M. Deutsch, Phys. Rev. A **43**, 2046 (1991).
[14] M. Srednicki, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 888 (1994).
[15] H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1373 (1998).
[16] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, V. Yurovsky, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 050405 (2007).
[17] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Nature **452**, 854 (2008).
[18] J. R. Wootton and J. K. Pachos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 030503 (2011).
[19] C. Stark, L. Pollet, A. m. c. Imamoğlu, and R. Renner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 030504 (2011).
[20] D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, V. Oganesyan, A. Pal, and S. L. Sondhi, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 014206 (2013).
[21] B. Bauer and C. Nayak, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2013**, P09005 (2013).
[22] Y. Bahri, R. Vosk, E. Altman, and A. Vishwanath, arXiv:1307.4092 (2013).
[23] T. Grover and M. P. A. Fisher, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. **2014**, P10010 (2014).
[24] M. Schiulaz and M. Müller, AIP Conf. Proc. **1610**, 11 (2014).
[25] M. Schiulaz, A. Silva, and M. Müller, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 184202 (2015).
[26] W. De Roeck and F. Huveneers, Commun. Math. Phys. **332**, 1017 (2014).
[27] W. De Roeck and F. Huveneers, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 165137 (2014).
[28] J. M. Hickey, S. Genway, and J. P. Garrahan, arXiv:1405.5780 (2014).
[29] M. van Horssen, E. Levi, and J. P. Garrahan, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 100305(R) (2015).
[30] N. Y. Yao, C. R. Laumann, J. I. Cirac, M. D. Lukin, and J. E. Moore, arXiv:1410.7407 (2014).
[31] Z. Papic, E. Stoudenmire, and D. A. Abanin, arXiv:1501.00477 (2015).
[32] S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2863 (1992).
[33] L. Zhang and Z.-Y. Weng, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 165120 (2014).
[34] Z. Zhu, Z.-Y. Weng, and T.-L. Ho, arXiv:1510.00035 (2015).
[35] The total spin S of the ground state is identified by the condition $E_0 = E_0(S^z)$ for $S^z = -S, -S+1, \ldots, S$ and $E_0 < E_0(S^z)$ for $|S^z| > S$, where E_0 is the full-Hilbert-space ground state energy and $E_0(S^z)$ is the ground state energy in the subspace labelled by the total spin z-component S^z.
[36] Y. Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. **147**, 392 (1966).
[37] K. Sano and K. Takano, arXiv:1510.06881 (2015).
[38] Here ε^{1h} is rescaled by a proper numerical factor in the main panel of Fig. 4.
[39] M. Serbyn, Z. Papić, and D. A. Abanin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 127201 (2013).
[40] I. H. Kim, A. Chandran, and D. A. Abanin, arXiv:1412.3073 (2014).
[41] D. A. Huse, R. Nandkishore, and V. Oganesyan, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 174202 (2014).
[42] A. Chandran, I. H. Kim, G. Vidal, and D. A. Abanin, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 085425 (2015).
[43] V. Ros, M. Müller, and A. Scardicchio, Nuclear Physics B **891**, 420 (2015).
[44] K. Wu, Z. Y. Weng, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 155102 (2008).
[45] Z. Zhu, H.-C. Jiang, Y. Qi, C.-S. Tian, and Z.-Y. Weng, Sci. Rep. **3**, 2586 (2013).
[46] Z. Zhu, H.-C. Jiang, D. N. Sheng, and Z.-Y. Weng, Sci. Rep. **4**, 5419 (2014).
[47] Z. Zhu and Z.-Y. Weng, arXiv:1409.3241 (2014).
[48] Z. Zhu, C.-S. Tian, H.-C. Jiang, Y. Qi, Z.-Y. Weng, and J. Zaanen, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 35113 (2015).
[49] S. R. White, D. J. Scalapino, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 56401 (2015).
[50] Z. Zhu, D. N. Sheng, and Z.-Y. Weng, (2015).
[51] However, at $\alpha > \alpha_c$, the single hole is found to lose its phase coherence and lead to a translational symmetry breaking[47,48,50], while two doped holes form a tightly bound state which is mobile like a coherent Cooper pair[46]. Again the phase string effect is shown to play a critical role there[47,48,50].
[52] H. Yao and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 166402 (2010).
[53] H.-Q. Wu, R.-Q. He, Z. Y. Meng, and Z.-Y. Lu, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 125128 (2015).
[54] U. Schneider, L. Hackermüller, J. P. Ronzheimer, S. Will, S. Braun, T. Best, I. Bloch, E. Demler, S. Mandt, D. Rasch, and A. Rosch, Nat. Phy. **8**, 213 (2012).
[55] R. Jördens, N. Strohmaier, K. Günter, H. Moritz, and T. Esslinger, Nature **455**, 204 (2008).
[56] U. Schneider, L. Hackermller, S. Will, T. Best, I. Bloch, T. A. Costi, R. W. Helmes, D. Rasch, and A. Rosch, Science **322**, 1520 (2008).
[57] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).